| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/721,265 | YAMANOUCHI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Erma Cameron | 1762 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Erma Cameron</u> . | (3) | • |
| (2) Mark Boland. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 June 2005</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | · |
| Claims discussed: all Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | B DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1. Claim 3 will be amended to correct a typo. 2. On 5/20/2005, Mark Boland elected composition claims 1-9 over method of coating claims 10-11 or method of making ink claim 12, without traverse. Applicant is now willing to cancel nonelected claims. These items will be done by examiner's amendment..